

ET420077755US

"Express Mail" mailing label number: ET420077755US

Date of Deposit: 5/3/01

PATENT
Case No. AUS920010147US1
(9000/23)

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
APPLICATION FOR UNITED STATES LETTERS PATENT

INVENTOR(S): DAVID B. KUMHYR

TITLE: METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR
TRANSLATING HUMAN
LANGUAGE TEXT

ATTORNEYS: IBM CORPORATION
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW DEPT.
11400 BURNET ROAD - 4054
AUSTIN, TEXAS 78758
(512) 823-0000

METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR
TRANSLATING HUMAN LANGUAGE TEXT

5

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

1. Field Of The Invention

The present invention generally relates to the translation of human
10 language text from a source language to a target language. The present
invention specifically relates to the generation, modification and storage of
interlingua.

2. Description Of The Related Art

15 Machine translation systems known in the art typically include a front
end parser for generating interlingua from human language text in a source
language and several back end parsers for generating the human language
text in various target languages from the interlingua. For example, referring to
FIG. 1A, a front end parser **31** receives a human language text **HLT_E** in
20 English and in response thereto generates an interlingua **INT₁**. A back end
parser **40** receives interlingua **INT₁** and in response thereto generates human
language text **HLT_F** in French. A back end parser **41** receives interlingua
INT₁ and in response thereto generates human language text **HLT_S** in
Spanish. A back end parser **42** receives interlingua **INT₁** and in response
25 thereto generates human language text **HLT_I** in Italian. A back end parser **43**
receives interlingua **INT₁** and in response thereto generates human language
text **HLT_R** in Russian. A back end parser **44** receives interlingua **INT₁** and in
response thereto generates human language text **HLT_J** in Japanese.

The prior art machine translations systems are notorious for stilted translations as well as incorrect translations. Consequently, translators are employed to correct any inaccuracies within the human language text in target language forms. For example, still referring to **FIG. 1A**, a French translator, a Spanish translator, an Italian translator, a Russian translator, and a Japanese translator are employed to correct any inaccurate translation of human language text **HLT_E** into human language text **HLT_F**, human language text **HLT_S**, human language text **HLT_I**, human language text **HLT_R**, and human language text **HLT_J**, respectively. The translators normally accomplish their task by a comparing human language text **HLT_E** to human language text **HLT_F**, human language text **HLT_S**, human language text **HLT_I**, human language text **HLT_R**, and human language text **HLT_J**.

Upon a correction of the translated human language text, files of human language text in source language form and target language forms are filed with an associated executable program. For example, referring to **FIG. 1B**, files of human language text **HLT_E**, human language text **HLT_F**, human language text **HLT_S**, human language text **HLT_I**, human language text **HLT_R**, and human language text **HLT_J** are shown as being stored within an executable program **50**. Thus, whenever the executable program is being run by a computer, appropriate portions of the human language text from a file corresponding to a desired language of a viewer can be displayed as needed.

One disadvantage of the aforementioned process of translating human language text from a source language to several target languages is the expense and complexity in employing multiple translators. Another disadvantage is the amount of space required to file the translated human language text within a program can be excessive relative to the remaining portions of the program. Thus, until the present invention, a simple and straightforward method for translating human language text from a source language to several target languages without burdening file space for a program was not available.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

The present invention relates to a method and a system for translating human language text that overcomes the disadvantages associated with the prior art. Various aspects of the invention are novel, non-obvious, and provide various advantages. While the actual nature of the present invention covered herein can only be determined with reference to the claims appended hereto, certain features, which are characteristic of the embodiments disclosed herein, are described briefly as follows.

One form of the present invention is a method for facilitating a translation of human language text from a source language to a target language. First, an interlingua is generated as a semantic representation of the human language text in source language form. Second, any inaccuracies of the interlingua are corrected.

A second form of the present invention is a method for generating human language text during an execution of a program. First, interlingua is retrieved from a computer readable medium during the execution of the program. Second, the human language text in target language form is generated from the interlingua.

A third form of the present invention is an information handling system for facilitating a translation of human language text from a source language to a target language. The system comprises means for generating an interlingua as a semantic representation of the human language text in source language form. The system further comprises means for correcting any inaccuracies of the interlingua.

A fourth form of the present invention is a computer program product in a computer readable medium facilitating a translation of human language text from a source language to a target language. The computer program product
5 comprises computer readable code for generating an interlingua as a semantic representation of the human language text in source language form. The computer program product further comprises computer readable code for correcting any inaccuracies of the interlingua.

The foregoing forms and other forms, features and advantages of the
10 present invention will become further apparent from the following detailed description of the presently preferred embodiments, read in conjunction with the accompanying drawings. The detailed description and drawings are merely illustrative of the invention rather than limiting, the scope of the invention being defined by the appended claims and equivalents thereof.

15

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

FIG. 1A is a block diagram of machine translation software known in the art;

5 **FIG. 1B** is a block diagram of a storage of human language text within a program as known in the art;

FIG. 2 is a block diagram of one embodiment of a machine translating computer hardware employed in the present invention;

10 **FIG. 3** is a block diagram of one embodiment of a machine translating computer software employed in the present invention;

FIG. 4 is a flow chart of one embodiment in accordance with the present invention of an interlingua routine implemented by the **FIG. 3** machine translating computer software;

15 **FIG. 5A** is a block diagram of a storage of files of human language text within a program in accordance with the present invention;

FIG. 5B is a flow chart of one embodiment in accordance with the present invention of a static translation routine implemented during the **FIG. 5A** storage of human language text files;

20 **FIG. 6A** is a block diagram of a dynamic generation of translated human language text within a program in accordance with the present invention; and

FIG. 6B is a flow chart of one embodiment in accordance with the present invention of a dynamic translation routine implemented during the **FIG. 6B** generation of translated human language text.

25

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE
PRESENTLY PREFERRED EMBODIMENTS

A machine translation (MT) computer **10** of the present invention is shown in **FIG. 2**. Referring to **FIG. 2**, MT computer **10** may be configured in any form for accepting structured inputs, processing the inputs in accordance with prescribed rules, and outputting the processing results as would occur to those having ordinary skill in the art, such as, for example, a personal computer, a workstation, a super computer, a mainframe computer, a minicomputer, a super minicomputer, and a microcomputer. Preferably, as shown, MT computer **10** includes a bus **11** for facilitating electrical communication among one or more central processing units (CPU) **12**, a read-only memory (ROM) **13**, a random access memory (RAM) **14**, an input/output (I/O) controller **15**, a disk controller **16**, a communication controller **17**, and a user interface controller **18**.

CPU **12** is preferably one of the Intel families of microprocessors, one of the AMD families of microprocessors, one of the Motorola families of microprocessors, or one of the various versions of a Reduced Instruction Set Computer microprocessor such as the PowerPC chip manufactured by International Business Machine Corporation (IBM). ROM **13** stores various controlling programs such as the Basic Input-Output System (BIOS) developed by IBM. RAM **14** is the memory for loading an operating system and selectively loading controlling and application programs.

Controller **15** is an aggregate of controllers for facilitating an interaction between CPU **12** and pointing devices such as a mouse **20** and a keyboard **21**, and between CPU **12** and output devices such as a printer **22** and a fax

5 **23**. Controller **16** is an aggregate of controllers for facilitating an interaction between CPU **12** and data storage devices such as disks drives **24** in the form of a hard drive, a floppy drive, a local drive, and a compact-disc drive. The hard drive of disk drives **24** stores a conventional operating system, such as an AIX operating system or an OS/2 operating system by IBM. Controller
10 **17** is an aggregate of controllers for facilitating an interaction between CPU **12** and a network **25**, and between CPU **12** and a database **26**. Controller **18** is an aggregate of controllers for facilitating an interaction between CPU **12** and a graphic display device such as a monitor **27**, and between CPU **12** and an audio device such as a speaker **26**.

15 Those having skill in the art will appreciate alternative computer hardware embodiments of MT computer **10** for implementing the principles of the present invention.

Referring additionally to **FIG. 3**, MT computer **10** includes an interlingua software **30** for implementing an interlingua routine **60** shown in
20 **FIG. 4**. Software **30** is a computer program physically stored within the hard drive of disk drives **24** whereby the hard drive is a computer readable medium that is electrically, magnetically, optically, or chemically altered to store computer readable code. In other embodiments of MT computer **10**, software **30** can be stored in other computer readable mediums of MT computer **10**,
25 such as the CD-ROM drive of disk drives **24**, or software **40** can be downloaded to MT computer **10** via network **25**. Also in other embodiments of MT computer **10**, software **30** can be partially or fully implemented with digital circuitry, analog circuitry, or both.

Software **30** includes a front end parser **31**, an interlingua engine **32**, and a user interface **33**. Software **30** will now be described herein in the context of processing human language text **HLT_E**. Those having ordinary skill
5 in the art will appreciate the applicability of software **30** to human language text in any source language.

Referring additionally to **FIG. 4**, during a stage **S62** of routine **60**, parser **31** receives human language text **HLT_E**. In one embodiment, front end parser **31** extracts human language text **HLT_E** from a database **41** of a source
10 code system **40**.

Front end parser **31** proceeds thereafter to a stage **S64** of routine **60** to conventionally parse human language text **HLT_E** to thereby generate interlingua **INT₁**. Interlingua **INT₁** is ideally an unambiguous semantic representation of human language text **HLT_E** whereby human language text
15 **HLT_E** can be easily translated from English to any target language. More often than not, front end parser **31** generates interlingua **INT₁** as an ambiguous semantic representation of human language text **HLT_E** that includes one or more inaccuracies.

Accordingly, during a stage **S66** of routine **60**, interlingua engine **32**
20 corrects any inaccuracies in the semantic representation of human language text **HLT_E** by interlingua **INT₁**. In one embodiment, interlingua engine **32** inputs human language text **HLT_E** and interlingua **INT₁** as shown and controls a display of human language text **HLT_E** and interlingua **INT₁** on monitor **27** via user interface **33**. Consequently, an interlingua editor can view monitor **27** to
25 compare human language text **HLT_E** and interlingua **INT₁** to thereby identify any contextual inaccuracies and any definitional inaccuracies within interlingua **INT₁**. Alternatively or concurrently, the interlingua editor can run a back end parser (not shown) on MT computer **10** to thereby identify any
30 **INT₁**.

In response to detecting any inaccuracies, the user can utilize the pointing devices of MT computer **10** to provide one or more corrective inputs **CI** to engine **32** whereby engine **32** can correct the inaccuracies to generate
 5 an interlingua **INT₂** as a corrected version of interlingua **INT₁**. In another embodiment, engine **32** provides interlingua **INT₁** to a interlingua grammar program (not shown) within MT computer **10** for comparing human language text **HLT_E** and interlingua **INT₁** to thereby identify and correct inaccuracies within interlingua **INT₁**, or for comparing a parsing of interlingua **INT₁** to human
 10 language text **HLT_E** to thereby identify and correct any inaccuracies within interlingua **INT₁**.

For example, human language text **HLT_E** can include the statement "call technical support". In response thereto, front end parser **31** can generate the following exemplary line [1] of interlingua **INT₁**:
 15
 (W/|desire,want| :AGENT(P/|you|) :PATIENT(A/|call| :AGENT P/|technical support| NIL) [1]

To test the accuracy of line [1], the interlingua editor or the interlingua
 20 grammar program (not shown) can run a back end parser (not shown) to receive a statement that demonstrates line [1] is an accurate representation (e.g., "call technical support" or "telephone technical support") or a statement that demonstrates line [1] is an inaccurate representation (e.g., "You desired the call of you"). When receiving line [1] as an inaccurate representation, the
 25 interlingua editor or the interlingua grammar program can utilize the grammar rules employed by front end parser **31** to thereby identify and correct any inaccuracies of line [1]. For example, "PATIENT(A/|call|" can be an inaccuracy in view of the variations in defining the term "call". The interlingua editor or the interlingua grammar program can correct this inaccuracy by
 30 replacing the term "call" with the term "telephone". Also by example,

“AGENT(P/|you|)” and “AGENT P/|technical support|” can be an inaccuracy under the grammar rules whereby “AGENT(P/|technical support|)” and “AGENT P/|you|” is the correct semantic representation that can be corrected
5 by the interlingua editor or the interlingua grammar program.

Interlingua engine **32** thereafter proceeds to a stage **S68** of routine **60** to store interlingua **INT₂** within one of the disk drives **24 (FIG. 2)**, or database **26 (FIG. 2)**. Those having ordinary skill in the art will appreciate the simplicity of the implementation of routine **60** by software **30** as compared to the
10 complexity of managing multiple translators as shown in **FIG. 1A**. Those having ordinary skill in the art will further appreciate the benefit of being able to retrieve and edit interlingua **INT₂** as needed.

The generation of interlingua **INT₂** facilitates a static translation of human language text **HLT_E** from English to one of the target languages as shown in **FIGS. 5A** and **5B**, or a dynamic translation of human language text
15 **HLT_E** from English to one of the target languages as shown in **FIG. 6A** and **6B**. A static translation and a dynamic translation of human language text **HLT_E** to human language text **HLT_F**, **HLT_S**, **HLT_I**, **HLT_R**, and **HLT_J** will now be described herein in connection with a description of **FIGS. 5A** and **5B**, and
20 **FIGS. 6A** and **6B**, respectively. However, the present invention does not place any restrictions as to the range of target languages that can be derived from the human language text in a source language such as English.

Referring to **FIGS. 5A** and **5B**, routine **70** is for the static translation of human language text **HLT_E**. During a stage **S72** of routine **70**, interlingua
25 **INT₂** is received by back end parsers **40-44**. During a stage **S74** of routine **70**, back end parsers **40-44** generate human language text **HLT_F**, **HLT_S**, **HLT_I**, **HLT_R**, and **HLT_J**, respectively. During a stage **S76** of routine **70**, files of human language text **HLT_E**, **HLT_F**, **HLT_S**, **HLT_I**, **HLT_R**, and **HLT_J** are stored within a program **51** (e.g., an operating system and an application program).
30 Routine **70** terminates after stage **S76**. Thereafter, whenever program **51** is

executed, a program user will be able to conventionally set that language for the human language text. As a result, text from an appropriate file of human language text is retrieved and displayed such as, for example, a retrieval and display of text from the file of human language text **HLT_S** on a monitor **52** as exemplary shown in **FIG. 5A**.

Those having ordinary skill in the art will appreciate that routine **70** is ideally suited for a source code system that is responsible for developing and packaging a program such as program **51**.

Referring to **FIGS. 6A** and **6B**, a program **53** (e.g., a website program) includes a file of interlingua **INT₂**, a file of human language text **HLT_E**, and back end parsers **40-44** as shown in **FIG. 6A**. A routine **80** as shown in **FIG. 6B** is implemented by program **53** during an execution of program **53** for the dynamic translation of human language text **HLT_E**. During a stage **S82** of routine **80**, the file of interlingua **INT₂** is retrieved from a memory location. During a stage **S84** of routine **40**, one of the back end parsers **40-44** generates human language text **HLT_F**, **HLT_S**, **HLT_I**, **HLT_R**, and **HLT_J**, respectively, from interlingua **INT₂**. The active back end parser **40-44** is activated based on a desired language from a user of program **53**, such as, for example, a website user as shown. During a stage **S86** of routine **80**, the appropriate human language text **HLT_F**, **HLT_S**, **HLT_I**, **HLT_R**, and **HLT_J** is displayed, such as, for example, on a monitor **54**.

Those having ordinary skill in the art will appreciate that the collective file sizes of interlingua **INT₂**, human language text **HLT_E**, and back end parsers **40-44** within program **53** more often than not will not exceed the collective file sizes of human language text **HLT_F**, **HLT_S**, **HLT_I**, **HLT_R**, and **HLT_J** within program **50** as shown in **FIG. 1B**. And, in most cases, the collective file sizes of interlingua **INT₂**, human language text **HLT_E**, and back end parsers **40-44** within program **53** will be significantly less the collective file sizes of human language text **HLT_F**, **HLT_S**, **HLT_I**, **HLT_R**, and **HLT_J** within program **50**.

Referring to **FIGS. 2 and 3**, in other embodiments of the present invention, front end parser **31** and interlingua engine **32**, can be distributed among two or more computers within a distributed computer network.

- 5 While the embodiments of the present invention disclosed herein are presently considered to be preferred, various changes and modifications can be made without departing from the spirit and scope of the invention. The scope of the invention is indicated in the appended claims, and all changes that come within the meaning and range of equivalents are intended to be
- 10 embraced therein.